VAMPYROTE INFERNA

A Treatise, with a Report Scientifique de Recherche

> VILÉM FLUS and LOUIS BE

Translated by Valentine

posthumanities 2



University of Minnese
Minneapolis
London

Originally published as Vampyroteuthis infernalis: Eine Abhandlung samt Befund des Institut Scientifique de Recherche Paranaturaliste. Copyright 1987 European Photography, Andreas Müller-Pohle, P. O. Box 08 02 27, D-10002 Berlin, Germany. www.equivalence.com. Edition Flusser, Volume VI (20003).

Copyright 2012 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Published by the University of Minnesota Press 111 Third Avenue South, Suite 290 Minneapolis, MN 55401-2520 http://www.upress.umn.edu

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CATALOGING-IN-PUBLICATION CATA Flusser, Vilém, 1920–1991.

[Vampyroteuthis infernalis. English]

Vampyroteuthis infernalis : a treatise : with a report by the Institut scientifique de recherche paranaturaliste / Vilém Flusser and Louis Bec ; translated by Valentine A. Pakis.

(Posthumanities; 23)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8166-7821-1 (hc : alk. paper)

ISBN 978-0-8166-7822-8 (pb : alk. paper)

Vampire squid. I. Bec, Louis, 1936

—. II. Institut scientifique de recherche paranaturaliste. III. Title.

QL430.3.V35F5813 2012 594'.58—dc23

2012008199

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

The University of Minnesota is an equal-opportunity educator and employer.

19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

CONTENT

TH	E	T	R	Е	A	T	Ί	

I.	Octopoda										
II.	Genealogy	7.									
	The Phylum Mollusca										
	The Class Cephalopoda										
	The Species	1	Va	m	py	r	ote	eu	th	is	in
III.	The Vampyroteuthic World										
	Its Model										
	The Abyss										
	Vampyroteuthic Dasein										
IV.	Vampyroteuthic Culture										
	Its Thinkin	g									
	Its Social Life										
	Its Art										
V.	Its Emerge	en	C	2							•

REPORT BY THE INSTITUT S
RECHERCHE PARANAT

77

THE TREA

THE TREATING

Nill

OCTOPOD

A GENUS OF MORE THAN 170 SPEC is represented by a single extant specie Some octopods, such as Octopus vulga and even eaten. Others, such as Octopa a length of ten meters and are rightly and sharp teeth, their muscular tenta ers—and the voracity of their expres cal appearance. Still others are all buinhabit the abysses of the sea. Their meters and their skull capacity exceed difficult to classify, was recently cau Vampyroteuthis infernalis.

It is not easy for us to approach it tax taxonomically. Humans and vampyrous one another. We would be crushed by and it would suffocate in the air that wits relatives captive in aquaria—both fer certain things about *it*—they kill their own arms. How we would conduits depths, where eternal darkness is puminescence, remains to be seen.

And yet the vampyroteuthis is not abyss that separates us is incomparable separates us from extraterrestrial life fiction and sought by astrobiologists. The same basic structure informs both of our bodies. Its metabolism is the same as ours. We are pieces of the same game, both constructed of genetic information, and we belong to a branch of the same phylogenetic tree to which its branch belongs. Our common ancestors dominated the beaches of the earth for millions of years, and it was relatively late in the history of life that our paths began to diverge—that is, when life "decided" to advance away from the beach both toward the mainland and, in the opposite direction, toward the bottom of the sea. We and the vampyroteuthis harbor some of the same deeply ingrained memories, and we are therefore able to recognize in it something of ourselves.

If, from the perspective of the vampyroteuthis, we were to follow the arbor vitae back to its roots, the path would look approximately so: Vampyroteuthis infernalis is a species of the genus Octopoda. This classification, it should be said, is not fully agreed upon by zoologists (some regard it as the only living species of the genus Vampyromorphida). This confusion is presumably caused and exploited by the species itself.

The genus Octopoda belongs to an order that, curiously enough, is also called Octopoda. It would be as though the genus Homo were assigned to an order called simply Homo, not Primates. The order Octopoda consists of thirty-six genera of animals, each with eight tentacles. It belongs to the class Cephalopoda, specifically to the subclass Metacephalopoda. Cephalopods are animals whose head and foot combine in such a way that the head emerges from the middle of the foot ("head-footed"). This peculiar foot, which encircles the head, branches out into eight or ten arms (octopods and decapods). The class Cephalopoda belongs to the immense phylum Mollusca, "soft animals" that secrete a shell. Well-known examples include oysters and snails. This phylum, in turn, belongs to a category of animals, Eucoelomata, among which we humans can also be counted. Eucoelomata are our common ancestors, and it is with them that our paths diverge. We should therefore accord these animals a closer look.

Eucoelomata are, incidentally, re consist of three types of cellular tissue: and endoderm. The ectoderm encases from the world, and the endoderm pr low them to digest what they can of the of all, however, is the mesoderm. It lie and digestive layers and allows these as In the case of Eucoelomata, we are demes, worms—that distinguish themse absorb the world into themselves, that world, and that influence it. Humans Eucoelomata.

Beyond Eucoelomata, there are oth with admittedly less success, to achiev gether with Eucoelomata they form a gether with Eucoelomata they form a gether with Eucoelomata differ from other bilateric coelom," an abdominal cavity between endoderm. Because of this cavity they and a "true" anus. Whereas the other and Pseudocoelomata, can only distinguish, Coelomata can also distinguish by

All of these worms, all bilateria, For them the world has two sides, ri ally symmetrical: "either-or" and "term what distinguishes bilateria from radicenter radiate various symmetrical axe radiata form the subkingdom called These are "true" animals with "true" "true" organisms. Other life forms mig cell tissues, the Parazoa and Mesazoa animals. Sponges, for instance, cannot isms: They have no organs. We are su that we deny these life forms their art to mention our attitude toward Protoz despite the fact that they constitute the imal kingdom.

Vampyroteuthes and humans are "true" animals. They are Eumetazoa. Both are Bilateria, living "dialectically," and both are Eucoelomata, distinguishing between front and back. Despite these similarities, life has chosen for them two wildly divergent paths, and that of the vampyroteuthis has been far more convoluted. Let us first, then, consider the human path.

Eucoelomata were disposed to one of two evolutionary possibilities: to refine either the endoderm (the digestive system) or the ectoderm (the nervous system). To accomplish both at once was, for reasons irrelevant to this discussion, infeasible. As ignoble as this may be, we have followed the first path, that of digestion, and vampyroteuthes the second. Our path, admittedly, was forged with some reluctance. Some Eucoelomata that had "chosen" the path of digestion, for instance, even attempted to reacquire their long lost radiality at the expense of their well-established bilaterality. Known as Echinodermata, they managed to "recapitulate" radiality; the starfish is a good example of this. Other Eucoelomata on the course of digestion branched into two vastly different groups. One of these consists of Chordata, from which vertebrates originated. This group, in turn, developed directly into fish, amphibians, and reptiles, from which birds and mammals derive. It was all, as we can see, a relatively easy process.

Far more dramatic is the path of the vampyroteuthis. Those Eucoelomata that had "chosen" in favor of their nervous systems began to segment their bodies into catenated rings. Examples of this include earthworms (annelids). From here there were two paths of development, a straight one and a vampyroteuthically winding one. The straight road led to exoskeletal animals with multiple legs and antennae: arthropods. These exoskeletons and antennae are absolute triumphs of life: Although entirely immured from the world, the animal can nevertheless feel it directly with its nerves. Arthropods developed into crustaceans such as lobsters, into centipedes, spiders, and insects. Viewed "objectively," the latter—especially ants and bees—represent the highest stage of life's development. Humans and vampyroteuthes, in

comparison, have advanced down bl noptera, life has managed to supersed and cultivate a highly cerebralized sup hives). It can thus be expected that I come to dominate all life on earth.

The vampyroteuthis did not particular rade. Annelids happened to develop they also forged another path that has by zoologists. Along this path they penelidic segmentation, but as adults that of the long surpassed Eucoeloma apparent regression from segmented tivity—this winding turn away from lusks—is typical of the vampyroteuth of all mollusks, and nothing about its beand exoskeletal structure of annelids, bees even less than ours does. And ye mentation and of the inclination toward grained in its mind. We can take no particular to the surprise of the particular toward grained in its mind. We can take no particular towards and the particular towards are particular towards and the particular towards are particular towards and the particular

The path from mollusk to vampy structure our own path from chordate of the vampyroteuthis are mussels, its other octopods are its "Neanderthals with the aim of the present text, which the basic structure of vampyroteuthic

Certain aspects of human *Dasein* ture, and certain others appear in it then a game can be built out of distenable us to recognize the basic struafar, of our own *Dasein*. By playing sort, we should hope to gain a new per though distanced, is not "transcendent dent, that is, because its standpoint wence, which would adopt an "object above the world and looking down uptrary, our analysis of humans will be re-

of the vampyroteuthis, which coexists with us in the world. It is our co-being (Mit-Sein).

What will be presented here is, accordingly, not a scientific treatise but a fable. The human and its vertebrate *Dasein* are to be criticized from the perspective of a mollusk. Like most fables, this one is ostensibly concerned with animals. *De te fabula narratur*.

П

GENEALO

The Phylum Mol

THE VAMPYROTEUTHIS IS A MO chordates. An endoskeleton buttresses tude toward life. Even without any pology, we feel a sense of belonging to estep on a mollusk, on the one hand, or whand, a cracking bone under our shoe. life-forms supported by bones, while ous. Though existential philosophy has idea of disgust, it has never attempted "biological existentialism," to advance ing hypothesis: "Disgust recapitulates pothesis is advanced here.

The more disgusting something is, from humans on the phylogenetic tree. mollusks, "soft worms." Somewhat les primitive chordates (Acrania), worm ported by a cuticular fin. Fish are more ians because they are more slippery. R than frogs and more disgusting than sis becomes interesting, however, in the a branch that split away from reptiles that of humans. The pulsing life of bifingertips, disgusts us because it is so