Extracts are here: https://cloud.constantvzw.org/s/bkd3P9MTQcL3TJr

ginger coons, Proposal for an additional logic gate (2014)

The proposal to extend binary computational logic with a queer/trans*feminist flavor. The BUT-gate ('Stops the functioning of the circuit and raises a concern') was developed at GenderBlending, the first Constant worksession! and resurfaced in the reader for the Queering damages workshop in 2018.

https://queeringdamage.hangar.org/images/5/55/Reader_Queering_Damage_2018.pdf

Karen Barad, Diffracting Diffraction: Cutting Together-Apart (2014)

p168-170

"What is needed, Trinh emphasizes, is a disruption of the binary, a way to figure difference differently. If this is to be the case then difference cannot be positioned in opposition to sameness, not in any absolute sense, for this would reiterate the same problematic logics. As Trinh puts it: a non-binary conception of difference is 'not opposed to sameness, nor synonymous with separateness'"

https://www.academia.edu/30091118/Diffracting_Diffraction_Cutting_Together-Apart

Rebekah Overdorf, Bogdan Kulynych, Ero Balsa, Carmela Troncoso, Seda Gürses, POTs: Protective Optimization Technologies (2018)

p1-3

The article is a situated response to the way optimization systems structurally disregard non-users, non-humans and environments that do not represent any potential socio-economic profit. It analyses the different ways/scales algorithmic procesess externalize risk and attention, and proposes 'Protective Optimisation Technologies', counter-algorithmic strategies that change the frame to include 'externalities' in order to expose these operations.

https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02711

Donna J. Haraway, Thyrza Goodeve, Modest_Witness@Second_Millennium. FemaleMan_Meets_OncoMouse: Feminism and Technoscience (1988 + 2018)

p23-26

The 2018 edition of Modest_Witness@Second_Millennium. FemaleMan_Meets_OncoMouse, includes a conversation between Thyrza Goodeve and Donna Haraway:

"Equations are incredibly hard and very important; they are gatherings together and propositions, and they're abstractions, but you can mistake them for the world itself. If you mistake primary qualities, the only things you can know for certain, and secondary qualities are those things you must get rid of as proper to subjectivity and bias—color and touch are secondary—you will end up

with a bad ontology. In Whitehead's terms, you are essentially engaged in misplaced concreteness by mistaking the abstraction for the thing."

https://library.memoryoftheworld.org/b/MFRkBl4qdZcdH0-Oi0AOCcfJf3ETbsrBbG8s3bZso8c6MDA6

Parisi, Luciana, Computational logic and ecological rationality. General Ecology: The New Ecological Paradigm (2017)

'Computational nature'

"The computational function of reason thus coincides with the discretization, selection, evaluation of increasingly random data (both external and internal to the computational environment itself), which importantly points to the generation of alien inferences advancing in the processing of data and algorithms (data, metadata, big data). Here a materialist approach to computation cannot be mainly concerned with the potentialities of computation already existing in nature, but needs to address the artificiality of an automated elaboration of data followed by an alien epistemological production." (this quote is actually from the paragraph fllowing the part I proposed, 'Speculative Reason'. I find this text super difficult to read, but it is very much connected to the proposals in other texts, so I would like to read it again in the context of Alchorisma)

https://monoskop.org/media/text/hoerl_burton_eds_2017_general_ecology/#cha-2